Request for Proposal (RFP) for scoping research needs on health impacts of climate mitigation actions targeting air pollution.

1. Objectives

We are looking for expertise in the area of climate and air pollution to conduct a rapid scoping activity and triangulate our understanding of where fundamental research could improve the breadth, depth and robustness of assessments of the health impacts of climate mitigation actions.

The scoping will cover fundamental research on short-lived climate forcers (SLCFs) that are, or are precursors to, health harming air pollutants. The supplier will help us to: a) understand the evidence gaps that currently limit our ability to estimate the health effects of mitigation actions that address these pollutants; b) identify the policy and regulatory opportunities we could unlock by filling these gaps; and c) describe the types of research needed to fill these gaps.

For this activity, we use ‘climate mitigation actions’ to reference any action that impacts emissions of SLCFs. These can include actions across sectors with significant sources of emissions (e.g. transport, energy, agriculture) regardless of whether the action is labelled a climate change mitigation action or air pollution measure.

2. RFP Background

Wellcome is a politically and financially independent charitable foundation. We improve health for everyone by funding research, leading policy and advocacy campaigns, and building global partnerships. Wellcome’s Research Environment approach cuts across our strategy and considers how we fund research. It is grounded in three values:

- The research that Wellcome supports is strengthened by being ethical, open, and engaged.
- The people Wellcome funds thrive in equitable, diverse, and supportive cultures.
- Wellcome is an innovative, efficient, and inclusive partner and organisation.

Climate change mitigation actions that improve air quality have some of the most direct health benefits. It’s been estimated that 5.1 million deaths a year are associated with air pollution from burning fossil fuels in industry, power generation and transport alone.

Climate change and air pollution are interlinked because many of the activities that emit greenhouse gases (GHGs), including energy production, agriculture and transportation, also produce air pollutants. Climate pollutants like short-live climate forcers (SLCFs) are, in most cases, health-harming air pollutants (IPCC). They are also often emitted at the same time as other health-harming pollutants, for example benzene during methane leaks.

These significant overlaps in sources and pollutants means there is potential for win-win actions on climate and health. Not only can climate change mitigation actions reduce health-
harming air pollutants, but effective delivery of clean air measures can also help mitigate climate change.

More research is needed to unpack the climate and health effects of actions at this intersection. We know there are evidence gaps that limit our ability to estimate the health effects of climate mitigation actions that impact air quality and vice versa. We want to understand where fundamental research could fill these gaps, to help make the case for win-win action on climate and health.

3. RFP Specification

This section sets out the specification of the scoping activity for this RFP exercise. Suppliers should use this section to fully understand Wellcome’s requirements and to inform their response. Wellcome will be guided by the supplier as to what is a reasonable budget for this activity as we do not want limit ambition or innovation.

We are looking for expertise in the climate change and air pollution space to conduct a rapid scoping activity and to triangulate our understanding of where fundamental research could improve the breadth, depth and robustness of assessments of the health impacts of climate mitigation actions. The aim of this scoping exercise is to help inform Wellcome’s future investments in this space. The scoping will cover the following areas:

1. Identify evidence gaps that limit our ability to estimate the health effects of climate change mitigation actions that reduce SLCFs.

2. Identify the policy and regulatory opportunities that could be unlocked by filling these evidence gaps (the ‘so what?’ test).

3. Describe the types of fundamental research needed to fill these gaps and any barriers to conducting this type of research (e.g. infrastructure or data).

4. Develop specific recommendations for Wellcome funding in this space.

Scope

We are interested in research on the co-benefits of reducing SLCFs that are, or are precursors to, health harming air pollutants, including research on their co-pollutants/co-emitted pollutants. The table briefly outlines the types of research that we see as in and out of scope.
In scope | Out of scope
---|---
SLCFs that are, or are a precursor to, health harming air pollutants (e.g. CH4, O3, black carbon, nitrate and sulfate aerosols). Indirect SLCFs (e.g. NH3 and NOx) are in scope. | GHGs and SLCFs that are not themselves, or are not a precursor to, health harming air pollutants (e.g. CO2, CFCs, water vapor).

Significant sources of emissions, like transport, wildfires, waste, energy, agriculture. | Relatively low sources of emissions, like fireworks. In addition, unmanageable natural sources of emissions as the primary topic of study (e.g. volcanoes, lightning, sand).

Ambient and indoor air pollution. | Air pollution with limited human exposure (e.g. transport pollution through uninhabited locations).

Primary (e.g. CVD, poor birth outcomes) and secondary (e.g. crop yields, heat intensification) health effects of pollutants. | Long term health impacts of emissions reductions (e.g. averting climate change, repairing holes in the ozone layer).

Health effects of co-emitted pollutants and co-pollutants from sources of SLCFs (e.g. benzene during methane leaks). | Health effects of pollutants not associated with SLCF sources (e.g. asbestos).

Evidence to advance win-win actions for climate and health. | Work that focuses on reducing exposure without reducing GHGs or SLCFs (e.g. air purifiers).

Below are some illustrative examples of evidence gaps that could be filled by fundamental research that we’re currently aware of. We expect the selected supplier to build on and validate this initial understanding:

- **Toxicology**: Gaps in our understanding of the relative toxicity of different species of particulate matter (e.g. PM10, PM2.5, PM0.1) and mixtures (PM + NOx) limit our ability to: determine the impact of reducing specific pollutants; determine the impact of reducing emission from a particular sector and attributed to a particular source; assess the role of a specific contaminant in producing associated health impacts (e.g. neurotoxicity and immunotoxicology).

- **Causal mechanisms**: research into the causal mechanisms, from molecular initiating events through biological scale to physiological/adverse outcomes in the individual, underlying the association of air pollution with poor maternal and child health outcomes, cancer, neurodegenerative disorders etc; air pollution and antimicrobial resistance; modulating factors that impact vulnerability in individuals.
  - **Models and bioinformatics**: Development/implementation of in vivo, in vitro and/or in silico human disease relevant models of inhalation exposure.

- **Epidemiology**: Most studies are conducted in Europe or North America, meaning there is a gap in locations with higher baseline levels of air pollution; studies that include a
wider range of health outcomes now associated with air pollution, including mental health, cancer, neurodegenerative disorders, antimicrobial resistance and maternal and child health.

- **Exposure-response functions (ERF):** the relevance of ERFs in geographies where pollutant sources/'mixes' and key demographics (e.g. age) differ significantly from more studied regions.

- **Exposure:** gaps in exposure data; research on the impact of exposure timing and durations on health impact (e.g. developmental exposure in utero, sustained versus episodic exposure); research on the health impacts of key sources of SLCFs that are emitted alongside several pollutant types.

**Deliverables**

We’ll be using the outputs of this work to help inform Wellcome funding in 2025. Therefore, we require the supplier to work at pace, and meet interim deliverable expectations. Identification of research gaps (objective 1) and description of the types of research needed to fill these (objective 3) should be **delivered by end of November 2024.** We appreciate that identifying and refining policy opportunities (objective 2) may take longer, so we are open to hearing suggested timelines for final deliverables by potential suppliers.

We expect the supplier to identify and build off previous work in the space. Where possible, the proposal should take advantage of existing events and networks to engage a wide range of experts efficiently.

We expect that there will be fortnightly check-ins with Wellcome staff over the duration of the project. Outputs should include a final report with slide decks outlining the findings and an external facing report that can be shared publicly. Key deliverables include but are not limited to:

- An early kick off meeting with the Wellcome team to define scope.
- Interim deliverables communicating evidence gaps and research required to fill these.
- A public facing report outlining the findings from the commission.
- A set of internal recommendations for how Wellcome could support this space.
- An internal slide deck summarising the findings and recommendations.
4. RFP Timetable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 RFP issue to Suppliers AND on Contract Opportunities webpage</td>
<td>Wellcome</td>
<td>1 May 2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Submission of Expression of Interest and Supplier Q&amp;A (optional)</td>
<td>Supplier</td>
<td>14 May 2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Return of Supplier Q&amp;A to Suppliers</td>
<td>Wellcome</td>
<td>21 May 2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Submission of RFP Response</td>
<td>Supplier</td>
<td>11 June 2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 RFP Evaluation Period</td>
<td>Wellcome</td>
<td>12 June 2024 – 26 June 2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Supplier Presentations</td>
<td>Supplier</td>
<td>18, 19, 22 July 2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Notification of Contract Award</td>
<td>Wellcome</td>
<td>w/c 22 July 2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Contract Negotiation</td>
<td>Wellcome &amp; Supplier</td>
<td>July-August 2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Contract Start Date</td>
<td>Wellcome &amp; Supplier</td>
<td>August 2024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Response Format

The following headers support the timetable by providing further detail of the key steps.

Expression of Interest and Supplier Q&A

Suppliers can submit an optional short expression of interest by e-mail to the Wellcome contact in accordance with the RFP timetable, which should contain the following information.

- Confirming whether you are an organisation or individual
- If an organisation please provide registered name, address, and registration number.
- Any questions you have about the exercise and activity

Prior to the submission of your full proposal to the RFP, Suppliers are provided the opportunity to submit any questions they have about the exercise and the activity. All questions will be collated, anonymised, answered, returned to all Suppliers who have submitted an expression of interest in the RFP process and uploaded to the Contract Opportunities Webpage. Please make sure you ask all questions at this stage. Once Wellcome have responded to all questions if you have any additional questions after this deadline these will not be answered to ensure that this is a fair and equitable process.

Submitting an EOI/Q&A is not a binding commitment to submit a full proposal should your organisational priorities change, you will not then be penalised for future opportunities.
Suppliers submitting a full proposal should cover the following areas in their response:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Max [5 pages]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Provide a short proposal outlining how you would approach the work and the proposed methodology (e.g. how you will ensure that a broad range of evidence gaps are identified, how will you triangulate competing perspectives from experts).</td>
<td>1.5 page max.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>What makes you best placed to fulfill the requirements of the RFP? Outline your experience in the area and how you will work with experts (both Wellcome appointed and others) to cover the gaps in your knowledge.</td>
<td>0.5 page max.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Provide one case study where you successfully provided services like those described in this RFP (one link to an output can be included).</td>
<td>0.5 page max.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>What equity, diversity and inclusion considerations will you embed throughout the development and delivery of this work?</td>
<td>0.5 page max.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Provide a proposed delivery plan outlining the project deliverables and timelines. Please include communication plan with the Wellcome Team.</td>
<td>Gantt chart, 1 page max.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Provide a detailed budget including breakdown justifying the proposed costs to meet Wellcome’s requirements.</td>
<td>N/A Table</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wellcome will be guided by the supplier on the budget as we do not want to limit ambition or innovation. Suppliers are encouraged to propose a budget required for this work to be delivered effectively while also demonstrating value for money.

Evaluation criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Detail</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposal</td>
<td>Coverage: Are the desired focus areas (as outlined in the specification) covered in the proposed methodology?</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Efficiency: Does the proposal build off previous work in the space and take advantage of existing events/networks?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Utility: Will the proposed methodology deliver the desired, credible, and useful results?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience &amp; Expertise</td>
<td>Skills and Experience: Does the supplier have the relevant skills, experience, and contextual understanding to deliver this work?</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Case study: Does the case study demonstrate the skills and experience required of the supplier to successfully deliver on their proposed work?

Delivery & Outputs
- Suitability: Is the proposed delivery plan appropriate?
- Feasibility: How feasible is the delivery plan?

EDI
Equity Diversity and Inclusion: Do they outline relevant equity, diversity and inclusion considerations and describe how they’ll be embedded throughout?

Budget
Value for Money: Is the proposed work good value for money?

Accessibility
All our content should be WCAG 2.2. AAA compliant. Any documents being provided to Wellcome must pass accessibility requirements. If you are unable to produce accessible documents, budget must be set aside to employ a suitable agency to do this work.

Total: 100%

Contract Feedback

This section allows Suppliers to provide specific feedback to the contractual agreement which will be used should their proposal be successful. This is the suppliers’ opportunity to provide negotiation points on Wellcome’s terms and conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clause #</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Proposed Solution/Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Suppliers submitting proposals as a registered company should review Wellcome’s Standard terms and Conditions document.

Individuals submitting proposals as a sole trader (not registered) should review this document.

Individuals submitting proposals through their own personal services company please highlight this to the Wellcome contact immediately (see point 7 below).

Information Governance

Wellcome is committed to upholding data protection principles and protecting your information. The Wellcome-Privacy-Statement-2023.pdf explains how, and on what legal basis,
we collect, store, and use personal information about you. This includes any information you provide in relation to this proposal.

Under [GDPR/Data Protection law](https://www.gov.uk/government/world/eurpean-union-gdpr), Wellcome must keep a record of all personal information it is processing (i.e., collecting, using, and sharing). This record will be made available to the Information Commissioner’s Office upon request.

This is Wellcome's record of data processing activities which meets GDPR article 30 requirements.

Suppliers will be asked to complete the [TPSRA2](https://www.wellcome.org) assessment before presentation stage to assess how you handle data.

**Supplier Presentations**

Following a submission of the proposal successful proposals will invited to a virtual meeting which will last 60 minutes in total and will be a PowerPoint presentation followed by questions and answers session.

6. **About Wellcome**

Wellcome improves health for everyone by funding research, leading policy and advocacy campaigns, and building global partnerships. Collaborative research that involves a diverse range of people from different fields of interest is key to progress in health science – and to achieving our aim of fostering a healthier, happier, world. We’re taking on the biggest health challenges facing humanity – climate and health, infectious disease, and mental health – to find urgent solutions and accelerate preventions. Find out more about Wellcome and our work at: [wellcome.org](https://www.wellcome.org).

7. **Prospective Suppliers Personnel - IR35 and Off Payroll Working Rules**

Before the RFP response deadline, Prospective Suppliers must make the Wellcome Contact aware if they are intending to submit a proposal where the services will be provided by any individuals who are engaged by the Prospective Supplier via an intermediary i.e.

- Where the Prospective Supplier is an individual contracting through their own personal services company; or
- The Prospective Supplier is providing individuals engaged through intermediaries, for the purposes of the IR35 off-payroll working rules.

8. **Equity Diversity and Inclusion**

Embracing [diversity and inclusion](https://www.wellcome.org) is fundamental to delivering our mission to improve health, and we are committed to cultivating a fair and healthy environment for the people who work here and those we work with. We want to cultivate an inclusive and diverse culture, and as we
learn more about barriers that disadvantage certain groups from progressing in our workplace, we will remove them.

Wellcome takes diversity and inclusion seriously, and we want to partner with suppliers who share our commitment. We may ask you questions related to D&I as part of our RFP processes.

9. Disability Confident

The Wellcome Trust is proud to be a Disability Confident Employer (DC Level 2) and we encourage all our partners and suppliers to do the same. More information about this can be found on the government website Disability Confident employer scheme and guidance - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk). Disability Confident is creating a movement of change, encouraging employers to think differently about disability and take action to improve how they recruit, retain and develop disabled people.

10. Accessibility

Wellcome is committed to ensuring that our RFP exercises are accessible to everyone. If you have a disability or a chronic health condition, we can offer adjustments to the response format e.g., submitting your response in an alternate format. For support during the RFP exercise, contact the Wellcome Contact.

If, within the proposed outputs of this RFP exercise, specific adjustments are required by you or your team which incur additional cost then outline them clearly within your commercial response. Wellcome is committed to evaluating all proposals fairly and will ensure any proposed adjustment costs sit outside the commercial evaluation.

11. Independent Proposal

By submission of a proposal, prospective Suppliers warrant that the prices in the proposal have been arrived at independently, without consultation, communication, agreement or understanding for the purpose of restricting competition, as to any matter relating to such prices, with any other potential supplier or with any competitor.

12. Funding

For the avoidance of doubt, the output of this RFP exercise will be funded as a Contract and not as a Grant.
13. Costs Incurred by Prospective Suppliers

It should be noted that this document relates to a Request for Proposal only and not a firm commitment from Wellcome to enter into a contractual agreement. In addition, Wellcome will not be held responsible for any costs associated with the production of a response to this Request for Proposal.

14. Sustainability

Wellcome is committed to procuring sustainable, ethical and responsibly sourced materials, goods and services. This means Wellcome seeks to purchase goods and services that minimise negative and enhance positive impacts on the environment and society locally, regionally and globally. To ensure Wellcome’s business is conducted ethically and sustainably, we expect our suppliers, and their supply chains, to adhere to these principles in a responsible manner.

15. Wellcome Contact Details

The single point of contact within this RFP exercise for all communications is as indicated below;

Name: Hardip Dhaliwal
Pronouns: She/Her
Role: Procurement Manager
Email: RFP@wellcome.org